Moguls British Art, Sheffield 14th Sept 2003

Technical Delegate: lain McKay

Head Judge: Martin Carr

Chief of Competition: Kay Bates

Chief of Calculations: Martin Carr

Judge 1: Martin Carr Judge 2: Peter Bates

Judge 3: Computer

Judge 4: Kay Bates

Judge 5: Computer

Round 2 Results

Pace Time: 12.1

Forenames	Surname	Category	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4 Category	Judge 5 Category	Total Turns	Air	Time Tim	e Score	Overall
Phillip	Smith	J1	4.5	4.6	4.55	1.5 1.8	1.5 1.8	13.64	3.15	10.94	6.39	23.18
Jonathan	Smith	J2	4.2	4.4	4.3	1.8 1.4 1 1	1.8 1.4	12.9	3.06	13.22	4.88	20.84
Kier	Fenton	J2	3.8	3.6	3.7	1.3 0.7	1.3 0.7	11.1	1.91	12.6	5.29	18.30
Andrew	Longley	C1	3.3	3.1	3.2	1.8 1.6 1 1	1.8 1.6	9.6	3.25	14.02	4.35	17.20
Emma Louise	Lonsdale	J2	4	3.8	3.9	1.2 0.5 1 1	1.2 0.5	11.7	1.63	16.03	3.02	16.35
Frank	Reardon	S	3.1	2.8	2.95	0.1 0.6	0.1 0.6	8.85	0.65	14.88	3.78	13.28
Kate Elizabeth	Williams	C1	2.1	2.7	2.4	0 1.4 0 1	0 1.4	7.2	1.34	15.19	3.58	12.12
Jack	Smith	C1	2.7	2.7	2.7	0 0.4	0 0.4	8.1	0.38	17.88	1.8	10.28
James	Woods	BG	1.9	1.4	1.65	0 0.8	0 0.8	4.94	0.76	14.56	3.99	9.69

Forenames	Surname	Category	Judge 1	Judge 2	Judge 3	Judge 4 Jud Category Ca	dge 5 Itegory	Total Turns	Air	Time Time Sco	^{re} Overall
Will	Fowler	J1	2.2	2.3	2.25	0 0	0 0	6.75	0	16.85 2.48	9.23
Amy	Brookes-Beighto	C2	1.9	1.6	1.75	0 0.3 0 1 0	0 0.3	5.25	0.28	18.62 1.31	6.84
Rory	Flindall	BG	1	1	1	0.9 0 0	0.9 0	3	0.86	16.66 2.61	6.47
Alistair	Flindall	C1	1.1	1.2	1.15	0.7 0 0	0.7 0	3.45	0.67	17.72 1.9	6.02
Alex	Houston	BG	1.2	1.6	1.4	0 0.3 0 1 0	0 0.3	4.19	0.28	18.44 1.43	5.90
Ben	Parkes	C1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2 0 0	0.2 0	0.3	0.19	999 0	0.49

Moguls British Art, Sheffield 14th Sept 2003

Technical Delegate: Iain McKay

Head Judge: Martin Carr

Chief of Competition: Kay Bates

Chief of Calculations: Martin Carr

Judge 1: Martin Carr Judge 2: Peter Bates Judge 3: Computer

Judge 4: Kay Bates

Judge 5: Computer Pace Time:

Round 1 Results

12.1

•

Names		Category	Tur	rns J1	I -3		J4-5 Categor	Total Turn	s and Air	Time in Sec ar	nd Scor	Overall
Phillip	Smith	J1	4.1	4.3	4.2	1.5 1.9 1 1	1.5 1		3.26	11.72	5.87	21.72
Jonathan	Smith	J2	3.9	4.3	4.1	1.9 1.3 1 1	1.9 1		3.06	13.03	5.01	20.37
Kier	Fenton	J2	4	3.9	3.95	1.7 1 1 1	1.7 1	1 11.85	2.59	13.22	4.88	19.32
Andrew	Longley	C1	2.9	2.8	2.85	1.4 1.5 1 1	1.4 1		2.78	13.24	4.87	16.19
Frank	Reardon	S	3.1	3.6	3.3	1.3 0		0 10	1.24	15.47	3.39	14.63
Kate Elizabeth	Williams	C1	2.2	2.4	2.3	1.5 0 1 0		0 6.9	1.44	13.84	4.47	12.81
Jack	Smith	C1	2.6	2.8	2.7	0 1.2	0 1		1.14	15.97	3.06	12.3
Emma Louise	Lonsdale	J2	2.1	2.4	2.25	1.5 0 1 0		0 6.75	1.44	14.72	3.89	12.08
James	Woods	BG	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5 0 1 0		0 4.19	1.44	12.79	5.16	10.79
Ben	Parkes	C1	2.2	2.4	2.3	0 0.7	0 0		0.67	16.69	2.59	10.16

Names		Category	Tur	ns J1	-3		Air 、	J4-5	Total Turns	and Air	Time in Sec a	and Scor	Overall
							Jump C	ategor					
Amy	Brookes-Beighto	C2	1.9	2.5	2.2	0	0.3	0 0.3 0 1	6.6	0.28	17.69	1.92	8.8
Edward	Morley	C1	2.1	2.2	2.15	0	0.2	0 0.2 0 1	6.45	0.19	18.56	1.35	7.99
Alex	Houston	BG	1.5	2.3	1.9		0.6	0 0.6 0 1	5.69	0.56	18.19	1.59	7.84
Alistair	Flindall	C1	1.8	1.4	1.6	0	0.9	0 0.9 0 1	4.8	0.86	17.46	2.08	7.74
Rory	Flindall	BG	1	1.6	1.3	0.8	0	0.8 0	3.9	0.76	16.18	2.92	7.58
Ryan	Kaye	BG	1.2	1.4	1.3	0	0.5	0 0.5 0 1	3.89	0.48	19.71	0.59	4.96
Matt	Garvey	C1	1	0.6	0.8	0	0.3	0 0.3 0 1	2.4	0.28	17.19	2.25	4.93
Gabby	Cutler	BG	1.3	1.3	1.3	0	0.1	0 0.1	3.9	0.09	19.84	0.5	4.49
Hannah	Handford-Styring	BG	0.8	8.0	0.8	0	0	0 0	2.4	0	18.56	1.35	3.75
Ben	Howles	C1	0.6	0.7	0.65	0	0.5	0 0.5 0 1	1.94	0.48	18.72	1.24	3.66
Jake	Askew	BG	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.1	0 0.1	1.5	0.09	19.09	1	2.59
Sophie	Pashley	C1	1	0.3	0.65	0	0	0 0	1.95	0	19.66	0.62	2.57
Jossua	Law	BG	0.9	0.3	0.6		0.5	0 0.5 0 1	1.79	0.48	20.34	0.17	2.44

Names		Category	Tur	ns J1	-3		Air 、	J4-5		Total Turns a	ind Air	Time in Sec an	d Scor	Overall
							Jump C	ategor						
Joe	Hides	BG	0.9	0.6	0.75	0	0.1	0	0.1	2.25	0.09	24.85	0	2.34
Arran	Jordan	BG	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.4	0	0.4	0.3	0.38	23.56	0	0.68
Phillip	Smith	J1	4.1	4.1	4.1	1.9 1	1.8 1	1.9 1	1.8	12.3	3.54	12.1		Pace

Snowsport GB

Freestyle Technical Delegate's Report

This Report must be received in the BSSF Office not more than one week following the close of the competition to which it relates.

General information

Name of Competition

British Artificial Slope Freestyle Championships 2003

Venue Sheffield Ski Village Date 13 September 2003

Start Time 10:00 Category

Discipline(s) Men \boxtimes Women \boxtimes Snr \boxtimes Jnr \boxtimes

Organisers (Ski Centre/Club, Contact name and telephone number)

Snowsport GB

Chief of Competition

Kay Bates

Name and Address of T.D.

lain Mackay, 2 Pond Cottages, Braishfield, Romsey, SO51 0pr. +44 (0)1794 368207

Arrival and departure of TD Date Time

Arrived 12 September 2003 19:00

Departed

Name of Assistant/Trainee T.D.

Pre-competition organisation

Were correct entry procedures followed by Organisers?

Yes

Was the pre-planning/programming of the events satisfactory?

Yes

Were all the officials properly briefed?

Yes

Competition sites

Moguls

Piste

Devil's Elbow

Condition of slope/suggested improvements

Matting mostly good. Weeds growing through matting. Sprinkler system ineffective.

Length of Course Width80m
4.5 - 5.2m

From top:

Length	Gradient	Orientation
2m	3	250 (WSW)
48m	16	
30m	16	
m		
m		
m		
m		
m		
m		

Were there any specific dangers/problems on the Course?

No

Was there sufficient crowd control? (fencing/personnel)

Yes

Details of uphill transport/slope access

T-bar

Did competitors/officials receive priority?

No

Details of timing system

Hand

Details of back-up timing system

None

Were there sufficient First Aid personnel?

Centre staff

Aerials/Big Air

Condition of inrun

OK

Condition of landing area OK Condition of jumps/suggested improvements OK Inrun measurements

Jump distances from knoll

Was the water jump sprinkler system adequate?

Patchy

Were there specific dangers/problems on the aerial site?

No

Was there sufficient crowd control? (fencing/personnel)

OK

Details of uphill transport/jump access

T-bar

Were their sufficient First Aid personnel?

Centre staff

The Event

Weather conditions

Sunny, wind 1-3.

The programme

Event

MO	Qualif	\boxtimes	Final	
ВА	Qualif		Final	
	Qualif		Final	
	Qualif		Final	
	Qualif		Final	

Timetable

Item	Plan	ned	Actual		
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	
MO training	10:00	11:00	10:00	11:00	
MO Quals	11:15	11:45	11:39	12:08	
MO Finals	11:30	11:45	12:50	13:15	
BA round 1	12:00	12:25	13:30	13:42	
BA round 2	12:25	12:55	13:42	13:52	
Official results	13:10		14:15		
Award ceremony	14:00		14:45	15:15	

Injuries

None

Judging

Number of Judges

3

Name and qualifications of Head Judge

Martin Carr B Prov

Names and qualifications of the other Judges (including Trainee Judges)

Name	Qualification	Discipline
Kay Bates	С	MO BA
Peter Bates	С	MO BA
Martin Carr	B Prov	MO BA

Did the Judges arrive on time and watch official training?

Arrive on time but did not watch Official Training, being engaged on other duties. This is not a problem at this level and with judges of their experience.

Were the Judges positioned satisfactorily?

Yes

Was the speed of judging acceptable?

Yes

The Start

Were correct procedures followed at the start? Give details of any problems that occurred at the start.

Some marshalling problems with BA due to lack of an agreed system.

ESC TD Report form (revised 2001) British Artificial Slope Freestyle Championships 2003

The Music and Public Address System Was the briefing of the sound assistant/announcer satisfactory? No sounds Did the announcer have adequate communication with starter and judges? Give details of any problems that arose with regard to the music **Event Procedures** Tick appropriate boxes and attach annexes as necessary \Box **Protests** Warnings Jury meetings \boxtimes Rules Was the competition run in accordance with: FIS Rules? \boxtimes □ British modifications Others (Specify) Were there any improvisations? What system was used for calculation of the results? Spreadsheet: MS Excel and Access Did the scoring system cause any problems? Yes. No Position Numbers or Bib Numbers on Result lists. Unable to generate Start List for Moguls Were the results prepared in accordance with the rules? See previous item. Suggested improvements in Event Organisation Need to have another look at the calculation arrangements and foresee issues such as occurred with the results. Need a watertight system for communicating judges' scores to scorer; it would be better to bring the computer to the judges' position than to rely on runners. Presentation of the event What provision was made for the display of provisional scores? None

Was this person adequately 'entertained'?

If the event was sponsored, was there a representative of the sponsor present?

Yes		
Did the event run to programme? If	not, identify cause of a	ny serious delay.
Delay between MO Qualifications and F	inals because of mislaid	l judges' card.
Were any representatives from the m	nedia present? -	
No		
Were they given assistance to cover etc.)	the event (interviews,	information, biographies, results
Any proposals for rule cha	anges etc	
Signed:		Date:
Name (caps)	Qualification	

ESC TD Report form (revised 2001)

Page 6 of 9

Was the prize-giving satisfactory?

JURY DECISION (ICR Art. 3032.3.3.5 and following)

Competition	British Artificia	l Slope F	-reesty	/le Champion	ships 2003			
Discipline					-	Date		
AC _	MO⊠	DM_		AE 🗌	BA	13 September 2003		
Reasons for	Jury Decision	1				ICR References		
Bib Number 2 not reach Sco		: judges	; cards	s for Qualifica	ation round did			
Inputs for Ju	ry Decision					ICR References		
Correct procedure would be to re-run, recalculate results and then move to Finals. However this would jeopardise competition programme as all had to be fnished before 14:00.								
DECISION								
Agreed to exp		16 and ru	un him	as first in Fir	nals round - wha	atever his score, this would be his		
TD						VOTE		
						For		
Head Judge						VOTE		
						For		
Chief Of Com	npetition					VOTE		
						For		
Date and time	e of submissi	on 1	3 Sep	tember 2003,	14:05			
Date		s	ignati	ure of TD				
13 September	r 2003							

Competition British Artificial S	Slope Fr	eestyle Champs	sionships 2003	
Discipline				Date
AC MO D	13 September 2003			
Reasons for Jury Decision				ICR References
Tie for first place. No Tie Brea	ak Proce	edures defined.		
Inputs for Jury Decision				ICR References
In Aerials, ties are broken by a	awardino	g the higher plac	e to the skier w	
the highest total scoring jump.		y g p		
DECISION				
Agrees to adopt the same pro-	cedure a	as in Aerials.		
TD				VOTE
				For
Head Judge				VOTE
				For
Chief Of Competition				VOTE
				For
Date and time of submission	1 13	September 200	03, 14:40	L
Date	Si	gnature of TD		
13 September 2003				

Competition British Artificial Slope Freestyle Champsionships 2003							
Discipline							Date
AC 🗌	MO⊠	DM		AE_	I	ВА	13 September 2003
Reasons for Jury Decision						ICR References	
In Finals, one skier failed to start. Finals field had already been expanded by earlier Jury decision because of loss of scores for Bib No 24.							
Inputs for Jury Decision						ICR References	
Having expanded the Finals field, the logical course of action is to treat the question as one would if the Finals field had been arrived at in the normal way. Therefore the DNS skier gets 16th place.							
DECISION							
DNS gets 16th place. Bib 2 gets his normal place (which works out as 10th).							
TD						VOTE	
							For
Head Judge						VOTE	
							For
Chief Of Competition						VOTE	
							For
Date and time of submission 13 September 2003, 14:47.						I	
Date	Date Signature of TD						
13 September 2003							